

Streaming cold cosmic ray back-reaction and thermal instabilities across the background magnetic field

Anatoly K. Nekrasov¹ and Mohsen Shadmehri²

¹ Institute of Physics of the Earth, Russian Academy of Sciences, 123995 Moscow, Russia;

anekrasov@ifz.ru, nekrasov.anatoly@gmail.com

² Department of Physics, Golestan University, Basij Square, Gorgan, Iran;

m.shadmehri@gu.ac.ir

ABSTRACT

Using the multi-fluid approach, we investigate streaming and thermal instabilities of the electron-ion plasma with homogeneous cold cosmic rays drifting perpendicular to the background magnetic field. Perturbations across the magnetic field are considered. The back-reaction of cosmic rays resulting in the streaming instability is taken into account. The thermal instability is shown not to be subject to the action of cosmic rays in the model under consideration. The dispersion relation for the thermal instability has been derived which includes sound velocities of plasma and cosmic rays, Alfvén and cosmic ray drift velocities. The relation between these parameters determines the kind of thermal instability from Parker’s to Field’s type instability. The results obtained can be useful for a more detailed the investigation of electron-ion astrophysical objects such as galaxy clusters including the dynamics of streaming cosmic rays.

Key words: cosmic rays - galaxies:clusters:general - instabilities - magnetic fields -

plasmas - waves

1. INTRODUCTION

The cosmic rays are an important ingredient in some of the astrophysical environments (e.g. Zweibel 2003). They are capable of affecting the dynamics of the astrophysical plasma media leading to plasma heating, increasing the level of ionization, driving outflows, modifying shocks, and so on (e.g. Field, Goldsmith & Habing 1969; Zweibel 2003; Guo & Oh 2008; Everett et al. 2008; Beresnyak, Jones & Lazarian 2009; Samui, Subramanian & Srianand 2010; Enßlin et al. 2011). Ionization by cosmic rays has a vital role in star formation near the Galactic center (e.g. Yusef-Zadeh, Wardle & Roy 2007) and in the dead zone of protoplanetary disks (Gammie 1996).

The thermal instability (Field 1965) has been used to explain existence of the cold dense structures in the interstellar (e.g. Field 1965; Begelman & McKee 1990; Koyama & Inutsuka 2000; Hennebelle & Péroult 2000; Sánchez-Salcedo, Vázquez-Semadeni & Gazol 2002; Vázquez-Semadeni et al. 2006; Fukue & Kamaya 2007; Inoue & Inutsuka 2008; Shadmehri, Nejad-Asghar & Khesali 2010) and intracluster (ICM; e.g. Field 1965; Mathews & Bregman 1978; Balbus & Soker 1989; Loewenstein 1990; Bogdanović et al. 2009; Parrish, Quataert & Sharma 2009; Sharma, Parrish & Quataert 2010) media. For example, molecular filaments are seen in galaxy clusters with short ($\lesssim 1$ Gyr) cooling times (e.g. Conselice, Gallagher & Wyse 2001; Salomé et al. 2006; Cavagnolo et al. 2008; O’Dea et al. 2008).

In galaxy clusters, cosmic rays are wide spread (e.g. Guo & Oh 2008; Enßlin et al. 2011). Therefore, they could exert influence on the thermal instability. In particular, including cosmic rays is required to explain the atomic and molecular lines observed in filaments in

clusters of galaxies (Ferland et al. 2009). Such an investigation has been performed by Sharma, Parrish & Quataert (2010) in the framework of the magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) equations where cosmic rays have been considered as a second fluid having the velocity of the thermal plasma. Numerical analysis has shown that the cosmic ray pressure can play an important role in the dynamics of cold filaments making them much more elongated along the magnetic field lines than the Field length.

However, the relativistic cosmic rays can have a drift velocity of the order of the speed of light and temperature larger than the particle rest energy. The interaction of such particles with the thermal plasma can not be considered in the framework of the conventional MHD. The cosmic ray drift current results in arising of the return current provided by the background plasma (e.g. Achterberg 1983; Bell 2004, 2005; Riquelme & Spitkovsky 2009, 2010). The possible role of this effect in the generation of thermal instability needs to be considered. There is also another important issue like the amplification of magnetic fields. The classical cyclotron resonant instability has been proposed long time ago to explain this process (Kulsrud & Pearce 1969). However, this mechanism has turned out to be unable to provide sufficient energy in the shock upstream plasma. In order to resolve this problem, just recently a new non-resonant instability has been proposed that may provide a much higher energy (Bell 2004; see also Zweibel 2003). This instability known as the Bell instability has been confirmed by non-linear numerical simulations (Riquelme & Spitkovsky 2009). Subsequent works extended this instability into various directions by considering partially ionized media (Reville et al. 2007) and thermal plasma effects (Zweibel & Everett 2010). However, the works cited above, except for the paper by Bell (2005), have been restricted to the cosmic ray drift velocity and perturbations parallel to the initial magnetic field. In his paper, Bell (2005) has derived the general dispersion relation for arbitrary orientation of the background magnetic field, cosmic ray current, and direction of perturbations. The dispersion relation obtained describes instability due to the return

plasma current. In the paper by Riquelme & Spitkovsky (2010), the case in which the cosmic ray current is perpendicular to the initial magnetic field has also been considered. In this case, cosmic rays can be magnetized in a way that their Larmor radius defined by the longitudinal thermal velocity (Zweibel 2003; Bell 2004) is smaller than the typical length scales of the system. Riquelme & Spitkovsky (2010) have studied this perpendicular current-driven instability analytically in the linear regime and numerically. Their growth rate was similar to that of the cosmic ray current-driven instability by Bell (2004). But these authors have not included the cosmic ray back-reaction analytically.

The thermal instability in galaxy clusters in the multi-fluid approach has been considered by Nekrasov (2011, 2012). Effects related to cosmic rays were not included in these papers. Although the original Bell instability was proposed to explain magnetic field amplification in a shock, just recently Nekrasov and Shadmehri (2012) extended the instability to a multi-fluid case in which the thermal effects are also considered along with the presence of streaming cold cosmic rays. A geometry was considered in which homogeneous cosmic rays drift across the background magnetic field and perturbations arise along the latter. Such a geometry was analogous to that treated by Riquelme & Spitkovsky (2010). The cosmic ray back-reaction has been included and the growth rate has been obtained which is much larger than that for the Bell instability and perpendicular current-driven instability by Riquelme & Spitkovsky (2010). These findings motivated us to investigate the case in which perturbations arise transversely to the ambient magnetic field in the directions both along and across the cosmic ray current. As it is followed from the paper by Bell (2005) using the MHD equations, a streaming instability does not exist for such a geometry. However, this result is incorrect in the multi-fluid consideration that is shown in this paper and has been obtained earlier (see for example Nekrasov (2007)). We include the induced return current of the background plasma and back-reaction of cosmic rays. With such an approach, the dispersion relations are derived and the growth

rates are found analytically. We also consider possible effects of cosmic rays on the thermal instability. For simplicity, we ignore the action of gravity as it has been done by Sharma, Parrish & Quataert (2010). The effects of the gravitational field have been investigated in detail by the multi-fluid approach in papers by Nekrasov & Shadmehri (2010, 2011). Thus, our present study extends previous analytical studies by considering not only the thermal effects but the currents driven by cosmic rays and their back-reaction.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains the fundamental equations for plasma, cosmic rays, and electromagnetic fields used in this paper. Equilibrium state is discussed in Section 3. Wave equations are given in Section 4. In Sections 5 and 6, the dispersion relations including the plasma return current, cosmic ray back-reaction, and the terms describing the thermal instability are derived and their solutions are found for perturbations along and across the cosmic ray current, respectively. Discussion of important results obtained and possible astrophysical implications are provided in Section 7. Conclusive remarks are summarized in Section 8.

2. BASIC EQUATIONS FOR PLASMA AND COSMIC RAYS

The fundamental equations for a plasma that we consider here are the following:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_j}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_j \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_j = -\frac{\nabla p_j}{m_j n_j} + \frac{q_j}{m_j} \mathbf{E} + \frac{q_j}{m_j c} \mathbf{v}_j \times \mathbf{B}, \quad (1)$$

the equation of motion,

$$\frac{\partial n_j}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot n_j \mathbf{v}_j = 0, \quad (2)$$

the continuity equation,

$$\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla T_i + (\gamma - 1) T_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_i = -(\gamma - 1) \frac{1}{n_i} \mathcal{L}_i(n_i, T_i) + \nu_{ie}^\varepsilon(n_e, T_e)(T_e - T_i) \quad (3)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial T_e}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_e \cdot \nabla T_e + (\gamma - 1) T_e \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_e = -(\gamma - 1) \frac{1}{n_e} \mathcal{L}_e(n_e, T_e) - \nu_{ei}^\varepsilon(n_i, T_e) (T_e - T_i) \quad (4)$$

are the temperature equations for ions and electrons. In Equations (1) and (2), the index $j = i, e$ denotes the ions and electrons, respectively. Notations in Equations (1)-(4) are the following: q_j and m_j are the charge and mass of species j , $\mathbf{v}_j$ is the hydrodynamic velocity, n_j is the number density, $p_j = n_j T_j$ is the thermal pressure, T_j is the temperature, $\nu_{ie}^\varepsilon(n_e, T_e)$ ($\nu_{ei}^\varepsilon(n_i, T_e)$) is the frequency of the thermal energy exchange between ions (electrons) and electrons (ions) being $\nu_{ie}^\varepsilon(n_e, T_e) = 2\nu_{ie}$, where ν_{ie} is the collision frequency of ions with electrons (Braginskii 1965), $n_i \nu_{ie}^\varepsilon(n_e, T_e) = n_e \nu_{ei}^\varepsilon(n_i, T_e)$, γ is the ratio of the specific heats, $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are the electric and magnetic fields, and c is the speed of light in vacuum. For simplicity, here we do not take into account collisions between the ions and electrons in the momentum equation. This effect for the thermal instability has been treated by Nekrasov (2011, 2012), where, in particular, conditions, under which such collisions can be neglected, have been found. However, the thermal exchange should be included because it must be compared with the dynamical time. The cooling and heating of plasma species in Equations (3) and (4) are described by the function $\mathcal{L}_j(n_j, T_j) = n_j^2 \Lambda_j(T_j) - n_j \Gamma_j$, where Λ_j and Γ_j are the cooling and heating functions, respectively. The form of this function has a certain deviation from the usually used cooling-heating function $\mathcal{L}$ (Field 1965). Both functions are connected to each other via the equality $\mathcal{L}_j(n_j, T_j) = m_j n_j \mathcal{L}_j$. Our choice is analogous to those of Begelman & Zweibel (1994), Bogdanović et al. (2009), Parrish, Quataert & Sharma (2009). The function $\Lambda_j(T_j)$ can be found, for example, in Tozzi & Norman (2001). We do not take into account the transverse thermal fluxes in the temperature equations, which are small in the weakly collisional plasma (Braginskii 1965) being considered in this paper.

Equations for relativistic cosmic rays which can be in general both protons and

electrons we use in the form (e.g. Lontano, Bulanov & Koga 2002)

$$\frac{\partial (R_{cr} \mathbf{p}_{cr})}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_{cr} \cdot \nabla (R_{cr} \mathbf{p}_{cr}) = -\frac{\nabla p_{cr}}{n_{cr}} + q_{cr} \left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v}_{cr} \times \mathbf{B} \right), \quad (5)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_{cr} \cdot \nabla \right) \left(\frac{p_{cr} \gamma_{cr}^{\Gamma_{cr}}}{n_{cr}^{\Gamma_{cr}}} \right) = 0, \quad (6)$$

where

$$R_{cr} = 1 + \frac{\Gamma_{cr}}{\Gamma_{cr} - 1} \frac{T_{cr}}{m_{cr} c^2}. \quad (7)$$

In these equations, $\mathbf{p}_{cr} = \gamma_{cr} m_{cr} \mathbf{v}_{cr}$ is the momentum of a cosmic ray particle having the rest mass m_{cr} and velocity $\mathbf{v}_{cr}$, q_{cr} is its charge, $p_{cr} = \gamma_{cr}^{-1} n_{cr} T_{cr}$ is the kinetic pressure, n_{cr} is the number density in the laboratory frame, Γ_{cr} is the adiabatic index, $\gamma_{cr} = (1 - \mathbf{v}_{cr}^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$ is the relativistic factor. The continuity equation is the same as Equation (2) for $j = cr$. Equation (7) can be used for both cold nonrelativistic, $T_{cr} \ll m_{cr} c^2$, and hot relativistic, $T_{cr} \gg m_{cr} c^2$, cosmic rays. In the first (second) case, we have $\Gamma_{cr} = 5/3$ ($4/3$) (Lontano, Bulanov & Koga 2002). The general form of the value R_{cr} , which is valid for any relations between T_{cr} and $m_{cr} c^2$, can be found e.g. in Toepfer (1971) and Dzhavakhishvili and Tsintsadze (1973).

Equations (1)-(4), (5), and (6) are solved together with Maxwell's equations

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{j} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}_{pl} + \mathbf{j}_{cr} = \sum_j q_j n_j \mathbf{v}_j + \mathbf{j}_{cr}$. Below, we first consider an equilibrium state in which there is a stationary cosmic ray current.

3. EQUILIBRIUM STATE

We will consider a uniform plasma embedded in the uniform magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_0$ (subject 0 here and below denotes background parameters) directed along the z -axis. We assume that the plasma in equilibrium is penetrated by a uniform beam of cosmic rays having the uniform streaming velocity $\mathbf{u}_{cr}$ along the y -axis. It is reasonable to suppose that in such uniform model the magnetic field due to cosmic rays will be absent. This picture is analogous to the consideration of the gravitational potential in the equilibrium state in an infinite uniform medium having a constant mass density. Then we obtain from Equation (9)

$$\sum_j q_j n_{j0} \mathbf{v}_{j0} + \mathbf{j}_{cr0} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_0}{\partial t} = 0. \quad (10)$$

From Equation (1), we easily find in the equilibrium state

$$\mathbf{v}_{e0} = \mathbf{v}_0 = c \frac{\mathbf{E}_0 \times \mathbf{B}_0}{B_0^2}, \mathbf{v}_{i0} = \mathbf{v}_0 + \frac{c}{\omega_{ci} B_0} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_0}{\partial t}, v_{e0z} = v_{i0z} = 0, \quad (11)$$

where we have assumed that $\partial/\partial t \ll \omega_{cj}$, $\omega_{cj} = q_j B_0 / m_j c$ is the cyclotron frequency. Analogously, we obtain from Equation (5) under condition $R_{cr} \gamma_{cr} \partial/\partial t \ll \omega_{cr}$ ($\omega_{cr} = q_{cr} B_0 / m_{cr} c$)

$$\mathbf{v}_{cr0} = \mathbf{v}_0 + \mathbf{u}_{cr}. \quad (12)$$

In Equation (12), we have neglected the polarization drift of cosmic rays (the second term on the right-hand side for the ion velocity in Equation (11)). This can be done for the approximate condition $n_{i0} \gg n_{cr0}$, if the cosmic rays are protons (see below), which is always satisfied. Using Equations (11) and (12), we will find the current $\mathbf{j}_0$

$$\mathbf{j}_0 = \frac{q_i n_{i0} c}{\omega_{ci} B_0} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_0}{\partial t} + q_{cr} n_{cr0} \mathbf{u}_{cr}, \quad (13)$$

where we have taken into account the condition of quasi-neutrality $q_i n_{i0} + q_e n_{e0} + q_{cr} n_{cr0} = 0$ (the number density n_{cr} is the one in the laboratory frame). This condition is satisfied

in astrophysical plasmas due to cosmic ray charge neutralization from the background environment (Alfvén 1939). Substituting Equation (13) into Equation (10), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_0}{\partial t} = -4\pi q_{cr} n_{cr0} \mathbf{u}_{cr} \frac{c_{Ai}^2}{c_{Ai}^2 + c^2}, \quad (14)$$

where $c_{Ai} = (B_0^2/4\pi m_i n_{i0})^{1/2}$ is the ion Alfvén velocity. Usually, the inequality $c^2 \gg c_{Ai}^2$ is satisfied. In this case, Equation (14) coincides with the corresponding equation given in the paper by Riquelme & Spitkovsky (2010). Substituting Equation (14) into Equation (11) for ions, we find the return plasma current

$$\mathbf{j}_{ret} = q_i n_{i0} (\mathbf{v}_{i0} - \mathbf{v}_0) = -q_{cr} n_{cr0} \mathbf{u}_{cr}, \quad (15)$$

which magnitude is equal the cosmic ray current and has the opposite direction. From Equation (15), it is followed that the induced plasma current drift velocity $\mathbf{u}_{pl} = \mathbf{v}_{i0} - \mathbf{v}_0$ is equal to $\mathbf{u}_{pl} = -(q_{cr} n_{cr0}/q_i n_{i0}) \mathbf{u}_{cr}$. Using Equation (14), we see that the polarization cosmic ray drift velocity $(R_{cr} \gamma_{cr} c / \omega_{cr} B_0) \partial \mathbf{E}_0 / \partial t$ can be neglected in comparison with $\mathbf{u}_{cr}$ under condition $m_i n_{i0} \gg R_{cr} \gamma_{cr} m_{cr} n_{cr0}$. If the cosmic rays (protons) are not too relativistic, this condition is satisfied. Below, the plasma drift velocity $\mathbf{u}_{pl}$ will be also taken into account as $\mathbf{u}_{cr}$.

We will consider the case in which background temperatures of the electrons and ions are equal, i.e. $T_{e0} = T_{i0} = T_0$. However, to follow the symmetric contribution of the ions and electrons in a convenient way, we make the calculations by assuming different temperatures. In this case, the thermal equations in equilibrium are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_i(n_{i0}, T_{i0}) = \mathcal{L}_e(n_{e0}, T_{e0}) = 0. \quad (16)$$

4. WAVE EQUATIONS

For perturbations across the background magnetic field, Equations (8) and (9) give us the following two equations:

$$c^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 E_{1x}}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial^2 E_{1y}}{\partial x \partial y} \right) - E_{1x} = 4\pi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} j_{1x} \quad (17)$$

and

$$c^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2} \left(-\frac{\partial^2 E_{1x}}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 E_{1y}}{\partial x^2} \right) - E_{1y} = 4\pi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} j_{1y}, \quad (18)$$

where $\mathbf{j}_1 = \mathbf{j}_{pl1} + \mathbf{j}_{cr1}$ and the subscript 1 here and below denotes the perturbed values.

The third equation describes the ordinary electromagnetic wave with $\mathbf{E}_1 \parallel \mathbf{B}_0$. The general expressions for the components $j_{pl1x,y}$ and $j_{cr1x,y}$ are given in the Appendices A and B (Equations (A54)-(A56) and (B19)-(B21)). These expressions are available for both magnetized and non-magnetic systems, electron-positron, pair-ion, and dusty plasmas and so on. In their general form, they are very complicated. Therefore to proceed analytically, one must apply simplifying assumptions. We are interested in magnetized systems consisting of electrons, ions, and cosmic rays where cyclotron frequencies of species are much larger than inverse dynamical times. In our case, this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{ci}^2 &\gg \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{pl} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2, \\ \omega_{ccr}^2 &\gg \gamma_{cr0}^4 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

(see Equations (A5), (A8), and (B7)). As we have noted above, the cosmic rays can be both protons and electrons. For ultrarelativistic cosmic rays, $\gamma_{cr0} \gg 1$, the second inequality (19) can be violated. Such a case is not considered here. Another condition that simplifies the treatment considerably is to assume the wavelength of perturbations to be much larger

than the Larmor radius of particles ρ_j

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\gg \rho_i^2 \nabla^2, \\ 1 &\gg \rho_{cr}^2 \gamma_{cr0} \left(\gamma_{cr0}^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

(see Equations (A41) and (B11)). The additional inequalities for cosmic rays will be given below. The third simplification is to consider perturbations along and across the cosmic ray drift velocity separately. The first case is simpler. Therefore, we begin with its consideration.

5. THE CASE $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \neq 0, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = 0$

Using Equation (A56) and performing calculations of the corresponding quantities, we find the components of the plasma dielectric permeability tensor (v_{i0y} has been changed by u_{pl})

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{plx} &= \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{pl} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2} \\ &\quad - \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2} \frac{1}{m_i} \left[T_{i0} + T_{e0} - \frac{G_1 + G_3}{D} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{G_2 + G_4}{D} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{pl} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \right] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2}, \\ \varepsilon_{plx} &= \left(\frac{\omega_{pi}^2 \omega_{ci}}{\Omega_i^2} + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2 \omega_{ce}}{\Omega_e^2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^3} \frac{1}{m_i} \left[T_{i0} - \frac{G_2 + G_4}{D} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{pl} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \right] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1}, \\ \varepsilon_{ply} &= - \left(\frac{\omega_{pi}^2 \omega_{ci}}{\Omega_i^2} + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2 \omega_{ce}}{\Omega_e^2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad - \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^3} \frac{1}{m_i} \left[T_{i0} - \frac{G_3}{D} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{G_4}{D} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{pl} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \right] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1}, \\ \varepsilon_{ply} &= \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

In obtaining expressions (21), we have taken into account that $m_i \gg m_e$ and $n_{i0} \simeq n_{e0}$. Analogously from Equation (B21), we obtain the cosmic ray dielectric permeability tensor

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_{crxx} &= \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^2} \gamma_{cr0}^3 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2} - \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^2} \gamma_{cr0}^2 c_{scr}^2 \left(\frac{u_{cr}}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2}, \\ \varepsilon_{crxy} &= -\varepsilon_{cryx} = \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\Omega_{cr}^2} \omega_{ccr} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} + \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^3} \gamma_{cr0}^3 c_{scr}^2 \left(\frac{u_{cr}}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1}, \\ \varepsilon_{cryy} &= \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^2} \gamma_{cr0}.\end{aligned}\quad (22)$$

Here, we have used the additional condition for cosmic rays

$$1 \gg \gamma_{cr0}^3 \rho_{cr}^2 \frac{u_{cr}}{c^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

(see Equation (B11)). The contribution of the term proportional to u_{cr}/c^2 in Equation (22) is small. This term shows the contribution of γ_{cr1} to the cosmic ray pressure perturbation (see Equations (B8) and (B9)).

5.1. Wave equation

From Equations (17) and (18), using Equations (A54), (A55), (B19), and (B20) and by omitting the contribution of the displacement current, we obtain the equation

$$\varepsilon_{yy} c^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2} \frac{\partial^2 E_{1x}}{\partial y^2} = (\varepsilon_{xx} \varepsilon_{yy} - \varepsilon_{xy} \varepsilon_{yx}) E_{1x}, \quad (23)$$

where $\varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_{plij} + \varepsilon_{crij}$. The values ε_{ij} are defined by Equations (21) and (22). When calculating the right-hand side of Equation (23), we assume some additional conditions except those given by Equations (19) and (20). We will neglect the contribution to $\varepsilon_{xy} \varepsilon_{yx}$ of the thermal cosmic ray term in ε_{crxy} and ε_{cryx} . Besides, we will use the condition of

quasineutrality in ε_{xy} and ε_{yx} and neglect the terms arising due to expansion of $\Omega_{i,cr}^{-2}$. An analysis shows that the corresponding conditions can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ \gamma_{cr0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2 ; c_{scr}^2 \left(\frac{u_{cr}}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right\} \\ & \gg \gamma_{cr0}^3 \frac{c_{scr}^4}{\omega_{ccr}^2} \left(\frac{u_{cr}}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} ; \gamma_{cr0} \frac{c_{spl}^2 c_{scr}^2}{\omega_{ci} \omega_{ccr}} \left(\frac{u_{cr}}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^3} ; \\ & \gamma_{cr0} \frac{c_{scr}^2}{\omega_{ci} \omega_{ccr}} \left(\frac{u_{cr}}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{pl} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2 , \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where $c_{spl} = (2\gamma T_{i0}/m_i)^{1/2}$. According to conditions (20) and (24), the contribution of the term $\varepsilon_{xy}\varepsilon_{yx}$ to the Equation (23) is small. Thus, we obtain

$$c^2 \frac{\partial^2 E_{1x}}{\partial y^2} = \varepsilon_{xx} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^2 E_{1x}. \quad (25)$$

5.2. Dispersion relation

Using Equations (21) and (22) and accomplishing the Fourier transform in Equation (25), we find for perturbations of the form $\exp(ik_y y - i\omega t)$ the following dispersion relation:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2} (\omega - k_y u_{pl})^2 + \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^2} \gamma_{cr0}^3 (\omega - k_y u_{cr})^2 \\ & - \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2} k_y^2 \frac{1}{m_i} \left[T_{i0} + T_{e0} + \frac{G_1 + G_3}{D} i\omega + \frac{G_2 + G_4}{D} i(\omega - k_y u_{pl}) \right] \\ & - \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^2} \gamma_{cr0}^2 k_y^2 c_{scr}^2 - k_y^2 c^2. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Below, we consider solutions of Equation (26) for the streaming instability and an influence of the streaming and thermal pressure effects on the thermal instability.

5.2.1. Streaming instability

Let us set all frequencies Ω equal to zero in Equation (26). To be more specific, it means that $\omega - k_y u_{pl} \gg \Omega_{T,ni}, \Omega_\epsilon$ and $\omega \gg \Omega_{T,ne}, \Omega_\epsilon$, where $\Omega_{ie} \simeq \Omega_{ei} = \Omega_\epsilon$ (the frequencies Ω are defined by Equation (A12)). Then, this equation takes the form

$$0 = \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2} (\omega - k_y u_{pl})^2 + \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^2} \gamma_{cr0}^3 (\omega - k_y u_{cr})^2 - \left(\frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2} c_{spl}^2 + \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^2} \gamma_{cr0}^2 c_{scr}^2 + c^2 \right) k_y^2. \quad (27)$$

The solution of Equation (27) is the following:

$$\omega = \frac{k_y (u_{pl} + du_{cr})}{1 + d} \pm \frac{k_y}{1 + d} \left[- (u_{cr} - u_{pl})^2 d + (1 + d) (c_{spl}^2 + \gamma_{cr0}^{-1} d c_{scr}^2 + c_{Ai}^2) \right]^{1/2}, \quad (28)$$

where

$$d = \frac{\omega_{ci}^2}{\omega_{pi}^2} \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^2} \gamma_{cr0}^3 = \frac{m_{cr}}{m_i} \frac{n_{cr0}}{n_{i0}} \gamma_{cr0}^3. \quad (29)$$

We see that the streaming instability has a threshold u_{crth} defined by the sound and ion Alfvén velocities

$$u_{crth}^2 = (1 + d^{-1}) (c_{spl}^2 + \gamma_{cr0}^{-1} d c_{scr}^2 + c_{Ai}^2). \quad (30)$$

When this threshold is exceeded, $u_{cr}^2 \gg u_{crth}^2$, the growth rate δ_{gr} is given by

$$\delta_{gr} = \frac{d^{1/2}}{1 + d} k_y u_{cr}. \quad (31)$$

These perturbations move with the phase velocity $v_{ph} = (u_{pl} + du_{cr}) / (1 + d)$.

5.2.2. Thermal instability

We now take into account the terms describing the thermal instability in Equation (26). We consider the fast thermal energy exchange regime in which $\Omega_\epsilon \gg \partial/\partial t, \Omega_{Ti,e}, \Omega_{ni,e}$.

Using Equations (A29) and (A30), we will have

$$\frac{\gamma(2\omega - k_y u_{pl}) + i\Omega_{T,n}}{\gamma(2\omega - k_y u_{pl}) + i\gamma\Omega_T} = c_{spl}^{-2} \left(du_{cr}^2 - \gamma_{cr0}^{-1} dc_{scr}^2 - c_{Ai}^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{k_y^2} \right), \quad (32)$$

where

$$\Omega_{T,n} = \Omega_{Te} + \Omega_{Ti} - \Omega_{ne} - \Omega_{ni},$$

$$\Omega_T = \Omega_{Te} + \Omega_{Ti}.$$

When obtaining Equation (32), we have assumed that $\omega \ll k_y u_{cr}$. If the right-hand side of Equation (32) is much less than unity, we obtain Field's isobaric solution $2\omega = k_y u_{pl} - i\Omega_{T,n}/\gamma$ (Field 1965). These perturbations travel with the phase velocity $u_{pl}/2$. In the opposite case, Equation (32) has Parker's isochoric solution $2\omega = k_y u_{pl} - i\Omega_T$ (Parker 1953). Thus, the presence of streaming cosmic rays can change the kind of thermal instability. When the right-hand side of Equation (32) is of the order of unity, the limiting solutions intermix.

6. THE CASE $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \neq 0, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0$

Calculating the components of the plasma dielectric permeability tensor given by Equation (A56), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varepsilon_{plx} &= \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2}, \\
 \varepsilon_{plx} &= \frac{\omega_{pi}^2 \omega_{ci}}{\Omega_i^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2 \omega_{ce}}{\Omega_e^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^3} \left[\frac{1}{m_i} \left(T_{i0} - \frac{G_3 + G_4}{D} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \omega_{ci} u_{pl} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1}, \\
 \varepsilon_{ply} &= -\frac{\omega_{pi}^2 \omega_{ci}}{\Omega_i^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} - \frac{\omega_{pe}^2 \omega_{ce}}{\Omega_e^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \\
 &\quad - \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^3} \left[\frac{1}{m_i} \left(T_{i0} - \frac{G_2 + G_4}{D} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \omega_{ci} u_{pl} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1}, \\
 \varepsilon_{ply} &= \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2} - \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2} \frac{1}{m_i} \left(T_{i0} + T_{e0} - \frac{G_1 + G_2 + G_3 + G_4}{D} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2} u_{pl}^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2} - \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^3} u_{pl} \frac{1}{m_i} \frac{G_2 - G_3}{D} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

From Equation (B21) for cosmic rays, we will have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varepsilon_{crx} &= \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^2} \gamma_{cr0}^3, \\
 \varepsilon_{crx} &= \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2 \omega_{ccr}}{\Omega_{cr}^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} + \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^3} \gamma_{cr0}^3 \left(\gamma_{cr0}^2 c_{scr}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \omega_{ccr} u_{cr} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1}, \\
 \varepsilon_{cry} &= -\frac{\omega_{pcr}^2 \omega_{ccr}}{\Omega_{cr}^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} - \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^3} \gamma_{cr0}^3 \left(c_{scr}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \omega_{ccr} u_{cr} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1}, \\
 \varepsilon_{cry} &= \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^2} \gamma_{cr0} \left[1 + \gamma_{cr0}^2 u_{cr}^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2} \right] - \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^2} c_{scr}^2 \gamma_{cr0}^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

In this case, the additional condition for cosmic rays except for Equation (20) is the following:

$$1 \gg \gamma_{cr0}^2 \frac{u_{cr} c_{scr}}{c^2} \rho_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

(see Equation (B11)).

6.1. Wave equation

In the case under consideration, the wave equation has the form

$$\varepsilon_{xx} c^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2} \frac{\partial^2 E_{1y}}{\partial x^2} = (\varepsilon_{xx} \varepsilon_{yy} - \varepsilon_{xy} \varepsilon_{yx}) E_{1y}. \quad (35)$$

Using Equations (33) and (34) and calculating the right-hand side of Equation (35), we find

$$\begin{aligned} (\varepsilon_{xx} \varepsilon_{yy} - \varepsilon_{xy} \varepsilon_{yx}) = & \varepsilon_{xx} \left(\frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2} + \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^2} \gamma_{cr0} \right) - \varepsilon_{xx} \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^2} \gamma_{cr0}^2 c_{scr}^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2} \\ & - \varepsilon_{xx} \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2} \frac{1}{m_i} \left(T_{i0} + T_{e0} - \frac{G_1 + G_2 + G_3 + G_4}{D} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2} \\ & + \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2} \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^2} \gamma_{cr0}^3 (u_{cr} - u_{pl})^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

6.2. Dispersion relation

After Fourier transformation of Equation (35) and substitution of Equation (36), we derive the dispersion relation

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + \frac{\omega_{ci}^2 \omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{pi}^2 \omega_{ccr}^2} \gamma_{cr0} \right) \omega^2 = & k_x^2 c_{Ai}^2 + \frac{\omega_{ci}^2 \omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{pi}^2 \omega_{ccr}^2} \gamma_{cr0}^2 k_x^2 c_{scr}^2 \\ & + k_x^2 \frac{1}{m_i} \left(T_{i0} + T_{e0} + \frac{G_1 + G_2 + G_3 + G_4}{D} i\omega \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{xx}} \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^2} \gamma_{cr0}^3 k_x^2 (u_{cr} - u_{pl})^2. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Below, as above, we consider the streaming instability and influence of cosmic rays on the thermal instability.

6.2.1. Streaming instability

As above, we again neglect in the values $G_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and D all the frequencies Ω . Then, Equation (37) takes the form

$$(1 + \gamma_{cr0}^{-2}d) \frac{\omega^2}{k_x^2} = -\frac{d}{(1+d)}u_{cr}^2 + c_{spl}^2 + \gamma_{cr0}^{-1}dc_{scr}^2 + c_{Ai}^2, \quad (38)$$

where we have omitted u_{pl} in comparison with u_{cr} . This equation describes an aperiodic instability, if the drift velocity of cosmic rays exceeds the threshold value given by Equation (30). An expression for the growth rate δ_{gr} when u_{cr} exceeds u_{crth} is the following:

$$\delta_{gr} = \left[\frac{d}{(1+d)(1 + \gamma_{cr0}^{-2}d)} \right]^{1/2} k_x u_{cr}. \quad (39)$$

6.2.2. Thermal instability

Now, we take into account the contribution into Equation (37) of terms describing the thermal instability in the fast thermal energy exchange regime $\Omega_e \gg \partial/\partial t, \Omega_{Ti,e}, \Omega_{ni,e}$. The dispersion relation becomes

$$\frac{2\gamma\omega + i\Omega_{T,n}}{2\gamma\omega + i\gamma\Omega_T} = c_{spl}^{-2} \left[\frac{d}{(1+d)}u_{cr}^2 - c_{Ai}^2 - \gamma_{cr0}^{-1}dc_{scr}^2 + (1 + \gamma_{cr0}^{-2}d) \frac{\omega^2}{k_x^2} \right]. \quad (40)$$

This equation is analogous to Equation (32). Depending on whether the right-hand side of Equation (40) is much larger than the unity or not, we will have Parker's or Field's instability (see above). In these limiting cases, the value ω^2 on the right-hand side of Equation (40) must be substituted by $-\Omega_T^2$ or $-\Omega_{T,n}^2$, respectively.

7. DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS

The growth rates (31) and (39) of streaming instabilities have a similar form and increase with decreasing of the perturbation wavelength. The thresholds for the cases $k_x = 0, k_y \neq 0$ and $k_x \neq 0, k_y = 0$ are equal to each other (see Equations (28) at $u_{cr} \gg u_{pl}$ and (38)). Thus, streaming cosmic rays generate perturbations in all directions across the ambient magnetic field. A spectrum of the perturbations in the $\mathbf{k}$ -space is limited from above by conditions given by Equations (19) and (20) and additional conditions (see inequalities after Equations (22) and (34)). These conditions for the case $k_x = 0, k_y \neq 0$ can be written in the form

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_y}{2\pi}\right)^2 \gg \max \left\{ \frac{d}{1+d} \frac{u_{cr}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2}; \frac{\gamma_{cr0}^4}{(1+d)} \frac{u_{cr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^2}; \frac{\gamma_{cr0}^3}{(1+d)^{1/2}} \frac{u_{cr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^2} \frac{c_{scr}^2}{c^2} \right\},$$

where the value d is defined by Equation (29) and λ is the wavelength. We have assumed that the threshold of instability is exceeded. The conditions (20) are satisfied. The analogous conditions for the case $k_x \neq 0, k_y = 0$ are the following:

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_x}{2\pi}\right)^2 \gg \max \left\{ \frac{d}{(1+d)(1+\gamma_{cr0}^{-2}d)} \frac{u_{cr}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2}; \frac{\gamma_{cr0}^4 d}{(1+d)(1+\gamma_{cr0}^{-2}d)} \frac{u_{cr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^2}; \rho_i^2; \gamma_{cr0}^3 \rho_{cr}^2; \gamma_{cr0}^4 \frac{u_{cr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}^2} \frac{c_{scr}^4}{c^4} \right\}.$$

Let us consider the polarization of perturbations. In the case $k_x = 0, k_y \neq 0$, the current $j_{1y} = 0$ (see Equation (18) without the displacement current). Then, the component of the electric field E_{1y} is equal to $E_{1y} = -(\varepsilon_{yx}/\varepsilon_{yy}) E_{1x}$. Estimations show that $(\varepsilon_{yx}/\varepsilon_{yy}) \ll 1$ for the streaming instability. Thus, the polarization is a linear one being $E_{1x} \gg E_{1y}$. The electric field polarization for the thermal instability depends on the wavelength of perturbations, ion Alfvén velocity, and parameters of cosmic rays and can be various. In the case $k_x \neq 0, k_y = 0$, the current $j_{1x} = 0$ (see Equation (17)). Then $E_{1x} = -(\varepsilon_{xy}/\varepsilon_{xx}) E_{1y}$. The ratio $\varepsilon_{xy}/\varepsilon_{xx}$ for the streaming instability is given by

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{xy}}{\varepsilon_{xx}} = -if \left[\frac{d(1+\gamma_{cr0}^{-2}d)}{(1+d)} \right]^{1/2} + \text{terms} \ll 1,$$

where $f = 1 - q_{cr}m_i/\gamma_{cr0}^3q_im_{cr}$. We have assumed that

$$\frac{d}{(1+d)}\gamma_{cr0}^2\frac{k_x^2c_{scr}^2}{\omega_{ccr}\delta_{gr}} \ll 1,$$

where δ_{gr} is determined by Equation (39). This condition can be easily satisfied taking into account Equation (20). Thus, we see that the ratio $\varepsilon_{xy}/\varepsilon_{xx}$ can be both smaller and larger than the unity. This result is also just for the case of thermal instability.

From Equations (32) and (40), it is followed that the relations between hydrodynamical parameters of thermal plasma and cosmic rays and the perturbation wavelength determine the kind of thermal instability from Parker's(1953) to Field's (1965) type instability. Dissipative processes such as the thermal conductivity of plasma and cosmic rays can affect the growth rate of thermal instability.

We now compare the growth rate found for the streaming instability along the background magnetic field (Nekrasov & Shadmehri 2012) with the growth rates obtained in this paper. The growth rates given by Equations (31) and (39) are of the same order of magnitude, if $\gamma_{cr0} \sim 1$ or $\gamma_{cr0} \gg 1$ and $d \lesssim 1$ (for the same wavenumbers). In the case $\gamma_{cr0} \gg 1$ and $d \gg 1$, the growth rate given by Equation (39) is larger. Therefore, we use Equation (39) for a comparison. The maximal growth rate found by Nekrasov & Shadmehri (2012) is equal to

$$\delta_m = 2j_{cr0} \left(\frac{\pi}{m_{cr}n_{cr0}c^2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{cr0}^{-1}c_A^2}{\gamma_{cr0}^{-1}c_{scr}^2 + c_A^2} \right)^{1/2},$$

where $c_A = c_{Ai} (1 + \gamma_{cr0}^{-2}d)^{-1/2}$. The ratio of this growth rate to the growth rate (39) for the same cosmic ray drift velocities is the following:

$$\frac{\delta_m}{\delta_{gr}} = (1 + d^{-1})^{1/2} \frac{c_{Ai}}{(c_{scr}^2 + \gamma_{cr0}c_A^2)^{1/2}} \frac{\omega_{pcr}}{k_x c}.$$

We see that for sufficiently short wavelengths the ratio δ_m/δ_{gr} can be less than unity. Thus, the transverse streaming instabilities induced by cosmic rays can considerably contribute to turbulence of astrophysical objects and amplification of magnetic fields.

We have explored the situation in which cosmic rays drift across the background magnetic field. This model has been considered by Riquelme & Spitkovsky (2010) for the problem of the magnetic field amplification in the upstream region of the supernova remnant shocks. The perturbations along the background magnetic field have been investigated and the cosmic ray back-reaction has not been taken into account in the analytical treatment. The latter effect for the longitudinal perturbations has been included in the paper by Nekrasov & Shadmehri (2012) where the growth rate considerably larger than that in (Riquelme & Spitkovsky 2010) has been found. In this paper, we have investigated the transverse perturbations. In the paper by Bell (2005), the unstable perturbations for the last case are absent in the MHD model. However, the multi-fluid approach gives a different result. In another model, cosmic rays drift along the magnetic field. This case has been investigated by Bell (2004) (see also Riquelme & Spitkovsky 2009). In both cases (Bell 2004; Riquelme & Spitkovsky 2010), the growth rates have turned out to be the same.

The streaming cosmic ray driven instabilities can exist in a variety of environments. Although such a type of instability was suggested originally for the magnetic field amplification in the shocks of supernovae, we think, wherever there is a strong cosmic ray streaming, this instability may play a significant role. For example, the models described above can be applied to the ICM where cosmic rays are an important ingredient (Loewenstein et al. 1991; Guo & Oh 2008; Sharma et al. 2009; Sharma, Parrish & Quataert 2010). Observations show that many cavities or bubbles in the ICM contain cosmic rays and magnetic field (e.g. Guo & Oh 2008). A substantial amount of cosmic rays may escape from these buoyantly rising bubbles (e.g. Enßlin 2003) which could be shredded or disrupted by RT and KH instabilities as they rise through the ICM (e.g. Fabian et al. 2006). Cosmic rays may also be produced by other processes near the central AGN of the galaxy cluster. Structure formation shocks, merger shocks and supernovae may also inject cosmic rays into the ICM (e.g. Voelk, Aharonian & Breitschwerdt 1996; Berezhinsky, Blasi & Ptuskin 1997).

The observation of diffuse radio synchrotron emission in many galaxy clusters give direct evidence for the presence of an extensive population of non-thermal particles (e.g. Brunetti et al. 2001; Pfrommer & Enßlin 2004). Recent Chandra and XMM observations also show evidence for a significant non-thermal particle population within the ICM (Sanders, Fabian & Dunn 2005; Werner et al. 2007).

In some of supernova remnants such as IC 443, SN 1006, Kepler, Tycho and etc., the driven shocks are propagating in a partially ionized ambient medium. This was a good motivation to extend cosmic ray streaming instability from the MHD approach to a two-fluid case, by considering ions and neutrals as two separate fluids where they can exchange momentum via collisions (e.g. Reville et al. 2007; see also Bykov & Toptygin 2005). It has been shown that the instability is getting slower rate because of collisions of ions with neutrals, in particular when the cosmic ray flux is not very strong. However, the back-reaction of cosmic rays has not been considered. Having in mind the finding that the growth rate is significantly enhanced in the presence of cosmic ray back-reaction in a three-fluid plasma system consisting of the ions, electrons, and cosmic rays, one may naturally expect such an effect in a four-fluid plasma system consisting of the ions, electrons, cosmic rays, and neutrals. It deserves a further study, but we may expect that the stabilizing effect of the ion-neutral collisions can be compensated by the back-reaction of cosmic rays.

8. CONCLUSION

Using the multi-fluid approach, we have investigated streaming and thermal instabilities of the electron-ion plasma with homogeneous cold cosmic rays drifting across the background magnetic field. We have taken into account the return current of the background plasma

and the back-reaction of cosmic rays for perturbations transverse to the magnetic field and along and across to the cosmic ray drift velocity. For sufficiently short wavelength perturbations, the growth rates exceed the one of streaming instability along the magnetic field.

The thermal instability has been shown not to be subjected to the action of cosmic rays in the model under consideration. The dispersion relations for the thermal instability in the multi-fluid approach has been derived which include sound velocities of plasma and cosmic rays, Alfvén and cosmic ray drift velocities. The relations between these parameters determine the kind of thermal instability from Parker’s to Field’s type instability.

The results of this paper can be useful for the investigation of the electron-ion astrophysical objects such as galaxy clusters including the dynamics of streaming cosmic rays.

9. REFERENCES

- Achterberg A., 1983, A&A, 119, 274
- Alfvén H., 1939, Phys. Rev., 55, 425
- Balbus S. A., Soker N., 1989, ApJ, 341, 611
- Begelman M. C., & McKee C. F., 1990, ApJ, 358, 375
- Begelman M. C., Zweibel E. G., 1994, ApJ, 431, 689
- Bell A. R., 2004, MNRAS, 353, 550
- Bell A. R., 2005, MNRAS, 358, 181
- Berezinsky V. S., Blasi P., Ptuskin V. S., 1997, ApJ, 487, 529

- Beresnyak A., Jones T. W., Lazarian A., 2009, *ApJ*, 707, 1541
- Bogdanović T., Reynolds C. S., Balbus S. A., Parrish I. J., 2009, *ApJ*, 704, 211
- Braginskii S. I., 1965, *Rev. Plasma Phys.*, 1, 205
- Brunetti G., Setti G., Feretti L., Giovannini G., 2001, *MNRAS*, 320, 365
- Bykov A. M., Toptygin I. N., 2005, *Astronomy Letters*, 31, 839
- Cavagnolo K. W., Donahue M., Voil G. M., Sun M., 2008, *ApJ*, 683, L107
- Conselice C. J., Gallagher J. S., III, Wyse R. F. G., 2001, *AJ*, 122, 2281
- Dzhavakhishvili D. I., Tsintsadze N. L., 1973, *Sov. Phys. JEPT*, 37, 666; 1973, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* 64, 1314
- Enßlin T., Pfrommer C., Miniati F., Subramanian K., 2011, *A&A*, 527, A99
- Everett J. E., Zweibel E. G., Benjamin R. A., McCammon D., Rocks L., Gallagher J. S., III, 2008, *ApJ*, 674, 258
- Fabian A. C., Sanders J. S., Taylor G. B., Allen S. W., Crawford C. S., Johnstone R. M., Iwasawa K., 2006, *MNRAS*, 366, 417
- Ferland G. J., Fabian A. C., Hatch N. A., Johnstone R. M., Porter R. L., van Hoof P. A. M., Williams R. J. R., 2009, *MNRAS*, 392, 1475
- Field G.B., 1965, *ApJ*, 142, 531
- Field G. B., Goldsmith D. W., Habing H. J., 1969, *ApJ*, 155, L149
- Fukue T., Kamaya H., 2007, *ApJ*, 669, 363
- Gammie C. F., 1996, *ApJ*, 457, 355
- Guo F., Oh S. P., 2008, *MNRAS*, 384, 251

- Hennebelle P., Péroult M., 2000, *A&A*, 359, 1124
- Inoue T., Inutsuka S., 2008, *ApJ*, 687, 303
- Koyama H., Inutsuka S., 2000, *ApJ*, 532, 980
- Kulsrud R., Pearce W. P., 1969, *ApJ*, 156, 445
- Loewenstein M., 1990, *ApJ*, 349, 471
- Loewenstein M., Zweibel E. G., Begelman M. C., 1991, *ApJ*, 377, 392
- Lontano M., Bulanov S., Koga J., 2002, *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 611, 157
- Mathews W., Bregman J., 1978, *ApJ*, 224, 308
- Nekrasov A. K., 2007, *Phys. Plasmas*, 14, 062107
- Nekrasov A. K., 2011, *ApJ*, 739, 88
- Nekrasov A. K., 2012, *MNRAS*, 419, 522
- Nekrasov A. K., Shadmehri M., 2010, *ApJ*, 724, 1165
- Nekrasov A. K., Shadmehri M., 2011, *Astrophys. Space Sci.*, 333, 477
- Nekrasov A. K., Shadmehri M., 2012, *Astro-ph.*, arXiv:1203.5734 (accepted by *ApJ*)
- O’Dea C. P. et al., 2008, *ApJ*, 681, 1035
- Parker E. N., 1953, *ApJ*, 117, 431
- Parrish I. J., Quataert E., Sharma P., 2009, *ApJ*, 703, 96
- Pfrommer C., Enßlin T. A., 2004, *A&A*, 413, 17
- Reville B., Kirk J. G., Duffy P., O’Sullivan S., 2007, *A&A*, 475, 435
- Riquelme M. A., Spitkovsky A., 2009, *ApJ*, 694, 626

- Riquelme M. A., Spitkovsky A. 2010, ApJ, 717, 1054
- Salomé P. et al., 2006, A&A, 454, 437
- Samui S., Subramanian K., Srianand R., 2010, MNRAS, 402, 2778
- Sánchez-Salcedo F. J., Vázquez-Semadeni E., Gazol A., 2002, ApJ, 577, 768
- Sanders J. S., Fabian A. C., Dunn R. J. H., 2005, MNRAS, 360, 133
- Shadmehri M., Nejad-Asghar M., Khesali A., 2010, Ap&SS, 326, 83
- Sharma P., Chandran B. D. G., Quataert E., Parrish I. J., 2009, ApJ, 699, 348
- Sharma P., Parrish I. J., Quataert E., 2010, ApJ, 720, 652
- Toepfer A. J., 1971, Phys. Rev. A, 3, 1444
- Tozzi P., Norman C., 2001, ApJ, 546, 63
- Vázquez-Semadeni E., Ryu D., Passot T., González R. F., Gazol A., 2006, ApJ, 643,
245
- Voelk H. J., Aharonian F. A., Breitschwerdt D., 1996, Space Sci. Rev., 75, 279
- Werner N., Kaastra J. S., Takei Y., Lieu R., Vink J., Tamura T., 2007, A&A, 468, 849
- Yusef-Zadeh F., Wardle M., Roy S., 2007, ApJ, 665, L123
- Zweibel E. G., 2003, ApJ, 587, 625
- Zweibel E. G., Everett J. E., 2010, ApJ, 709, 1412

A. Appendix

A.1. Perturbed velocities of ions and electrons

We put in Equation (1) $\mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{v}_{j0} + \mathbf{v}_{j1}$, $p_j = p_{j0} + p_{j1}$, $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_0 + \mathbf{E}_1$, $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{B}_1$, where the subscript 0 denotes equilibrium uniform parameters and the subscript 1 relates to perturbations. Then the linearized version of this equation takes the form

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{j1}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_{j0} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_{j1} = -\frac{\nabla T_{j1}}{m_j} - \frac{T_{j0}}{m_j} \frac{\nabla n_{j1}}{n_{j0}} + \mathbf{F}_{j1} + \frac{q_j}{m_j c} \mathbf{v}_{j1} \times \mathbf{B}_0, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where we have used that $p_{j1} = n_{j0} T_{j1} + n_{j1} T_{j0}$ ($n_j = n_{j0} + n_{j1}$, $T_j = T_{j0} + T_{j1}$) and introduced notation

$$\mathbf{F}_{j1} = \frac{q_j}{m_j} \mathbf{E}_1 + \frac{q_j}{m_j c} \mathbf{v}_{j0} \times \mathbf{B}_1. \quad (\text{A2})$$

From Equation (A1), we find expressions for the ion velocities $v_{i1x,y}$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_i^2 v_{i1x} = & \frac{1}{m_i} L_{ix} T_{i1} - \frac{T_{i0}}{m_i} L_{ix} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^{-1} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{i1} \\ & + \omega_{ci} F_{i1y} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) F_{i1x} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_i^2 v_{i1y} = & \frac{1}{m_i} L_{iy} T_{i1} - \frac{T_{i0}}{m_i} L_{iy} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^{-1} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{i1} \\ & - \omega_{ci} F_{i1x} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) F_{i1y}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A4})$$

In Equations (A3) and (A4), we have used the linearized continuity equation (2). The following notations are here introduced:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_i^2 &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \omega_{ci}^2, \\ L_{ix} &= -\omega_{ci} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\ L_{iy} &= \omega_{ci} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A5})$$

Analogous equations for the electrons are the following:

$$\Omega_e^2 v_{e1x} = \frac{1}{m_e} L_{ex} T_{e1} - \frac{T_{e0}}{m_e} L_{ex} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{e1} + \omega_{ce} F_{e1y} + \frac{\partial F_{e1x}}{\partial t}, \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\Omega_e^2 v_{e1y} = \frac{1}{m_e} L_{ey} T_{e1} - \frac{T_{e0}}{m_e} L_{ey} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{e1} - \omega_{ce} F_{e1x} + \frac{\partial F_{e1y}}{\partial t}, \quad (\text{A7})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_e^2 &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \omega_{ce}^2, \\ L_{ex} &= -\omega_{ce} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial t}, \\ L_{ey} &= \omega_{ce} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y \partial t}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

We do not consider the longitudinal velocity v_{j1z} because as can be shown in the case $\partial/\partial z = 0$ this velocity only depends on the electric field E_{1z} , $\partial v_{j1z}/\partial t = (q_j/m_j) E_{1z}$, and the transverse and longitudinal wave equations are split.

A.2. Perturbed temperatures of ions and electrons

We find now equations for the temperature perturbations $T_{i,e1}$. We here assume that equilibrium temperatures T_{i0} and T_{e0} are equal one another, $T_{i0} = T_{e0} = T_0$. The case $T_{i0} \neq T_{e0}$ for thermal instability has been considered by Nekrasov (2011, 2012). For equal temperatures, the terms connected with the perturbation of thermal energy exchange frequency in Equations (3) and (4) will be absent. However for convenience of calculations, we formally retain different notations for the ion and electron temperatures. From Equations (3) and (4) in the linear form, we obtain equations for the temperature perturbations

$$D_{1i} T_{i1} - D_{2i} T_{e1} = C_{1i} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{i1}, \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$D_{1e} T_{e1} - D_{2e} T_{i1} = C_{1e} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{e1}, \quad (\text{A10})$$

where notations are introduced

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{1i} &= \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) + \Omega_{Ti} + \Omega_{ie} \right] \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right), \\
D_{2i} &= \Omega_{ie} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right), \\
C_{1i} &= T_{i0} \left[-(\gamma - 1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) + \Omega_{ni} \right], \\
D_{1e} &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Omega_{Te} + \Omega_{ei} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \\
D_{2e} &= \Omega_{ei} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \\
C_{1e} &= T_{e0} \left[-(\gamma - 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Omega_{ne} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{A11}$$

When obtaining Equations (A9) and (A10), we have used Equations (2) and (16). The frequencies in Equation (A11) are the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{Tj} &= (\gamma - 1) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_j(n_{j0}, T_{j0})}{n_{j0} \partial T_{j0}}, \Omega_{nj} = (\gamma - 1) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_j(n_{j0}, T_{j0})}{T_{j0} \partial n_{j0}}, \\
\Omega_{ie} &= \nu_{ie}^\varepsilon(n_{e0}, T_{e0}), \Omega_{ei} = \nu_{ei}^\varepsilon(n_{i0}, T_{e0}).
\end{aligned} \tag{A12}$$

From Equations (A9) and (A10), we find equations for T_{i1} and T_{e1}

$$DT_{i1} = G_4 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{i1} + G_3 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{e1} \tag{A13}$$

and

$$DT_{e1} = G_1 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{e1} + G_2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{i1}. \tag{A14}$$

Here, we have

$$D = D_{1i} D_{1e} - D_{2i} D_{2e}, \tag{A15}$$

$$G_1 = D_{1i} C_{1e}, G_2 = D_{2e} C_{1i},$$

$$G_3 = D_{2i} C_{1e}, G_4 = D_{1e} C_{1i}.$$

A.3. Expressions for $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{i,e1}$

We now substitute temperature perturbations $T_{i,e1}$ defined by Equations (A13) and (A14) into Equations (A3) and (A4). Then applying operators $\partial/\partial x$ and $\partial/\partial y$ to Equations (A3) and (A4), respectively, and adding them, we find equation for $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{i1}$

$$L_{1i}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{i1} = -L_{2i}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{e1} + \Phi_{i1}, \quad (\text{A16})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_{1i} &= \Omega_i^2 + \frac{1}{m_i} \left[\frac{G_4}{D} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) - T_{i0} \right] \nabla^2, \\ L_{2i} &= \frac{1}{m_i} \frac{G_3}{D} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \nabla^2, \\ \Phi_{i1} &= \omega_{ci} \left(\frac{\partial F_{i1y}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_{i1x}}{\partial y} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}_{i1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A17})$$

Analogously, using Equations (A6) and (A7), we obtain

$$L_{1e}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{e1} = -L_{2e}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{i1} + \Phi_{e1}, \quad (\text{A18})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_{1e} &= \Omega_e^2 + \frac{1}{m_e} \left(\frac{G_1}{D} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - T_{e0} \right) \nabla^2, \\ L_{2e} &= \frac{1}{m_e} \frac{G_2}{D} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla^2, \\ \Phi_{e1} &= \omega_{ce} \left(\frac{\partial F_{e1y}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_{e1x}}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}_{e1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A19})$$

From Equations (A16) and (A18), we find

$$L\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{i1} = L_{1e}\Phi_{i1} - L_{2i}\Phi_{e1} \quad (\text{A20})$$

and

$$L\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{e1} = L_{1i}\Phi_{e1} - L_{2e}\Phi_{i1}. \quad (\text{A21})$$

The operator L is given by

$$L = L_{1i}L_{1e} - L_{2i}L_{2e}. \quad (\text{A22})$$

A.4. Equations for ion and electron velocities via $\mathbf{F}_{i,e1}$

Using Equations (A3), (A4), (A13), (A20), and (A21), we obtain the following equations for components of the perturbed ion velocity:

$$\Omega_i^2 v_{i1x} = \frac{L_{ix}}{m_i DL} (A_{1i} \Phi_{i1} - A_{2i} \Phi_{e1}) + \omega_{ci} F_{i1y} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) F_{i1x} \quad (\text{A23})$$

and

$$\Omega_i^2 v_{i1y} = \frac{L_{iy}}{m_i DL} (A_{1i} \Phi_{i1} - A_{2i} \Phi_{e1}) - \omega_{ci} F_{i1x} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) F_{i1y}. \quad (\text{A24})$$

The operators $A_{1,2i}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1i} &= \left[G_4 - DT_{i0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^{-1} \right] L_{1e} - G_3 L_{2e}, \\ A_{2i} &= \left[G_4 - DT_{i0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^{-1} \right] L_{2i} - G_3 L_{1i}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A25})$$

Equations for components of the perturbed electron velocity are found by using Equations (A6), (A7), (A14), (A20), and (A21)

$$\Omega_e^2 v_{e1x} = \frac{L_{ex}}{m_e DL} (A_{1e} \Phi_{e1} - A_{2e} \Phi_{i1}) + \omega_{ce} F_{e1y} + \frac{\partial F_{e1x}}{\partial t}, \quad (\text{A26})$$

$$\Omega_e^2 v_{e1y} = \frac{L_{ey}}{m_e DL} (A_{1e} \Phi_{e1} - A_{2e} \Phi_{i1}) - \omega_{ce} F_{e1x} + \frac{\partial F_{e1y}}{\partial t}. \quad (\text{A27})$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1e} &= \left[G_1 - DT_{e0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \right] L_{1i} - G_2 L_{2i}, \\ A_{2e} &= \left[G_1 - DT_{e0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \right] L_{2e} - G_2 L_{1e}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A28})$$

A.5. Expressions for D and $G_{1,2,3,4}$

We now give expressions for D and $G_{1,2,3,4}$ defined by Equation (A15). Using Equation (A11), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{-1} D = & \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) + \Omega_{Ti} \right] \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Omega_{Te}\right) \\ & + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Omega_{Te}\right) \Omega_{ie} + \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) + \Omega_{Ti} \right] \Omega_{ei} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A29})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 = T_{e0} & \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) + \Omega_{Ti} + \Omega_{ie} \right] \left[-(\gamma - 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Omega_{ne} \right] \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right), \\ G_2 = T_{i0} \Omega_{ei} & \left[-(\gamma - 1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) + \Omega_{ni} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \\ G_3 = T_{e0} \Omega_{ie} & \left[-(\gamma - 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Omega_{ne} \right] \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right), \\ G_4 = T_{i0} & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Omega_{Te} + \Omega_{ei}\right) \left[-(\gamma - 1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) + \Omega_{ni} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial t}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A30})$$

A.6. Simplified expressions for $A_{1,2i}$ and $A_{1,2e}$

We can further simplify expressions for $A_{1,2i}$ and $A_{1,2e}$ given by Equations (A25) and (A28). Using Equation (A17), we obtain

$$A_{2i} = -G_3 \Omega_i^2. \quad (\text{A31})$$

The expression for A_{1i} can be given in the form

$$A_{1i} = \left[G_4 - D T_{i0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)^{-1} \right] \Omega_e^2 - \frac{1}{m_e} \nabla^2 K, \quad (\text{A32})$$

where we have used Equation (A19). The following notation is introduced in Equation (A32):

$$K = \frac{1}{D} (G_2 G_3 - G_1 G_4) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + G_4 T_{e0} + G_1 T_{i0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - D T_{i0} T_{e0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)^{-1}. \quad (\text{A33})$$

Analogously, we will have

$$A_{2e} = -G_2 \Omega_e^2 \quad (\text{A34})$$

and

$$A_{1e} = \left[G_1 - DT_{e0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \right] \Omega_i^2 - \frac{1}{m_i} \nabla^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} K. \quad (\text{A35})$$

Calculations show that the value $D^{-1} (G_2 G_3 - G_1 G_4)$ takes the simple form

$$\frac{1}{D} (G_2 G_3 - G_1 G_4) = -T_{i0} T_{e0} \left[-(\gamma - 1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) + \Omega_{ni} \right] \left[-(\gamma - 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Omega_{ne} \right]. \quad (\text{A36})$$

Using Equations (A29), (A30), and (A36), we can also write the value K defined by Equation (A33) in the simple form

$$K = -T_{i0} T_{e0} (W_i W_e + W_i \Omega_{ei} + W_e \Omega_{ie}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}. \quad (\text{A37})$$

Here, notations are introduced

$$\begin{aligned} W_i &= \gamma \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) + \Omega_{Ti} - \Omega_{ni}, \\ W_e &= \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Omega_{Te} - \Omega_{ne}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A38})$$

We remind the reader that the temperatures of the ions and electrons are considered to be equal one another. We retain different notations for the control of the symmetry of the ion and electron contribution. Analogously, we find the following values:

$$\begin{aligned} G_4 - DT_{i0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^{-1} &= -T_{i0} (W_i V_e + W_i \Omega_{ei} + V_e \Omega_{ie}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \\ G_1 - DT_{e0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} &= -T_{e0} (W_e V_i + W_e \Omega_{ie} + V_i \Omega_{ei}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A39})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_i &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) + \Omega_{Ti}, \\ V_e &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Omega_{Te}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A40})$$

A.7. Operator L

Let us find the operator L given by Equation (A22). Using Equations (A17) and (A19), we obtain

$$L = \Omega_i^2 \Omega_e^2 + \frac{1}{m_i} \Omega_e^2 \left[\frac{G_4}{D} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) - T_{i0} \right] \nabla^2 + \frac{1}{m_e} \Omega_i^2 \left(\frac{G_1}{D} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - T_{e0} \right) \nabla^2 \quad (\text{A41})$$

$$- \frac{1}{m_i m_e D} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \nabla^4 K.$$

The expressions containing in this equation are given by Equations (A37)-(A40).

A.8. Simplified equations for ion and electron velocities via $\mathbf{E}_1$

We now substitute expressions for $A_{1,2i}$ given by Equations (A31) and (A32) into Equations (A23) and (A24). Then, we replace the values $\mathbf{F}_{j1}$ and $\Phi_{i,e1}$ by their expressions through $\mathbf{E}_1$ which are given by

$$F_{j1x} = \frac{q_j}{m_j} \left[E_{1x} + v_{j0y} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial E_{1x}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial E_{1y}}{\partial x} \right) \right], \quad (\text{A42})$$

$$F_{j1y} = \frac{q_j}{m_j} E_{1y}$$

and

$$\Phi_{i1} = -\frac{q_i}{m_i} \left(\omega_{ci} - v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial E_{1x}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial E_{1y}}{\partial x} \right) \quad (\text{A43})$$

$$+ \frac{q_i}{m_i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_1,$$

$$\Phi_{e1} = -\frac{q_e}{m_e} \omega_{ce} \left(\frac{\partial E_{1x}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial E_{1y}}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{q_e}{m_e} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_1.$$

When obtaining Equations (A42) and (A43), we have used Equations (A2) and (8). As a result, we will have the following equations for v_{i1x} and v_{i1y} :

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_{i1x} = & -\frac{q_i}{m_i} \frac{\Omega_e^2}{\Omega_i^2} \frac{L_{ix}}{L} \lambda_i \left[a_i \left(\frac{\partial E_{1y}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial E_{1x}}{\partial y} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_1 \right] \\
 & + \frac{q_e}{m_e} \frac{L_{ix}}{L} \mu_i \left[\omega_{ce} \left(\frac{\partial E_{1y}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial E_{1x}}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_1 \right] \\
 & + \frac{q_i}{m_i \Omega_i^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} E_{1x} \\
 & + \frac{q_i}{m_i \Omega_i^2} \left[\omega_{ci} - v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \right] E_{1y}
 \end{aligned} \tag{A44}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_{i1y} = & -\frac{q_i}{m_i} \frac{\Omega_e^2}{\Omega_i^2} \frac{L_{iy}}{L} \lambda_i \left[a_i \left(\frac{\partial E_{1y}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial E_{1x}}{\partial y} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_1 \right] \\
 & + \frac{q_e}{m_e} \frac{L_{iy}}{L} \mu_i \left[\omega_{ce} \left(\frac{\partial E_{1y}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial E_{1x}}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_1 \right] \\
 & - \frac{q_i}{m_i} \frac{\omega_{ci}}{\Omega_i^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} E_{1x} \\
 & + \frac{q_i}{m_i \Omega_i^2} \left[\omega_{ci} v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \right] E_{1y},
 \end{aligned} \tag{A45}$$

where notations are

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_i = & \frac{1}{m_i} \left[T_{i0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^{-1} - \frac{G_4}{D} \right] + \frac{1}{m_e m_i D \Omega_e^2} \nabla^2 K, \mu_i = \frac{G_3}{m_i D}, \\
 a_i = & \left(\omega_{ci} - v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{A46}$$

For the electron velocity, using Equations (A26), (A27), (A34), and (A35), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_{e1x} = & -\frac{q_e}{m_e} \frac{\Omega_i^2}{\Omega_e^2} \frac{L_{ex}}{L} \lambda_e \left[\omega_{ce} \left(\frac{\partial E_{1y}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial E_{1x}}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_1 \right] \\
 & + \frac{q_i}{m_i} \frac{L_{ex}}{L} \mu_e \left[a_i \left(\frac{\partial E_{1y}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial E_{1x}}{\partial y} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_1 \right] \\
 & + \frac{q_e}{m_e} \frac{\omega_{ce}}{\Omega_e^2} E_{1y} + \frac{q_e}{m_e \Omega_e^2} \frac{\partial E_{1x}}{\partial t}
 \end{aligned} \tag{A47}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{e1y} = & -\frac{q_e}{m_e} \frac{\Omega_i^2}{\Omega_e^2} \frac{L_{ey}}{L} \lambda_e \left[\omega_{ce} \left(\frac{\partial E_{1y}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial E_{1x}}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_1 \right] \\
& + \frac{q_i}{m_i} \frac{L_{ey}}{L} \mu_e \left[a_i \left(\frac{\partial E_{1y}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial E_{1x}}{\partial y} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_1 \right] \\
& - \frac{q_e}{m_e} \frac{\omega_{ce}}{\Omega_e^2} E_{1x} + \frac{q_e}{m_e \Omega_e^2} \frac{\partial E_{1y}}{\partial t},
\end{aligned} \tag{A48}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_e = & \frac{1}{m_e} \left[T_{e0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} - \frac{G_1}{D} \right] + \frac{1}{m_i m_e \Omega_i^2 D} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \nabla^2 K, \\
\mu_e = & \frac{G_2}{m_e D}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A49}$$

A.9. Perturbed plasma currents

We now make use of obtained ion and electron velocities to find perturbed plasma currents $j_{pl1x} = (q_i n_{i0} v_{i1x} + q_e n_{e0} v_{e1x})$ and $j_{pl1y} = (q_i n_{i0} v_{i1y} + q_i n_{i1} v_{i0y} + q_e n_{e0} v_{e1y})$ in the general form. From Equations (A44) and (A47), we will have

$$\begin{aligned}
4\pi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} j_{pl1x} = & \alpha_x \left(\frac{\partial E_{1y}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial E_{1x}}{\partial y} \right) - \beta_x \frac{\partial E_{1y}}{\partial x} + \delta_x \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_1 \\
& + \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\Omega_i^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2} E_{1x} + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\Omega_e^2} E_{1x} \\
& + \left(\frac{\omega_{pi}^2 \omega_{ci}}{\Omega_i^2} + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2 \omega_{ce}}{\Omega_e^2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} E_{1y}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A50}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_x &= \frac{1}{L} \left[\omega_{pi}^2 L_{ix} \left(\frac{q_e m_i}{q_i m_e} \mu_i \omega_{ce} - \frac{\Omega_e^2}{\Omega_i^2} \lambda_i a_i \right) + \omega_{pe}^2 L_{ex} \left(\frac{q_i m_e}{q_e m_i} \mu_e a_i - \frac{\Omega_i^2}{\Omega_e^2} \lambda_e \omega_{ce} \right) \right] \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1}, \quad (\text{A51}) \\
\delta_x &= \omega_{pi}^2 \frac{L_{ix}}{L} \left[\frac{q_e m_i}{q_i m_e} \mu_i - \frac{\Omega_e^2}{\Omega_i^2} \lambda_i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \right] \\
&\quad + \omega_{pe}^2 \frac{L_{ex}}{L} \left[\frac{q_i m_e}{q_e m_i} \mu_e \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} - \frac{\Omega_i^2}{\Omega_e^2} \lambda_e \right], \\
\beta_x &= \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\Omega_i^2} v_{i0y} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2},
\end{aligned}$$

and $\omega_{pj} = (4\pi n_{j0} q_j^2 / m_j)^{1/2}$ is the plasma frequency. The values $\lambda_{i,e}$, $\mu_{i,e}$, and a_i are given by Equations (A46) and (A49). Using Equations (2), (A44), (A45), and (A48), we further find

$$\begin{aligned}
4\pi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} j_{pl1y} &= (\alpha_y + \eta_1) \left(\frac{\partial E_{1y}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial E_{1x}}{\partial y} \right) - \beta_x \frac{\partial E_{1x}}{\partial x} + \beta_y \frac{\partial E_{1y}}{\partial x} + (\delta_y + \eta_2) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_1 \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{\omega_{pi}^2 \omega_{ci}}{\Omega_i^2} + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2 \omega_{ce}}{\Omega_e^2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} E_{1x} + \left(\frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\Omega_i^2} + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\Omega_e^2} \right) E_{1y}, \quad (\text{A52})
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_y &= \omega_{pi}^2 \frac{L_{iy}}{L} \left(\frac{q_e m_i}{q_i m_e} \mu_i \omega_{ce} - \frac{\Omega_e^2}{\Omega_i^2} \lambda_i a_i \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^{-1} \\
&+ \omega_{pe}^2 \frac{L_{ey}}{L} \left(\frac{q_i m_e}{q_e m_i} \mu_e a_i - \frac{\Omega_i^2}{\Omega_e^2} \lambda_e \omega_{ce} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1}, \\
\delta_y &= \omega_{pi}^2 \frac{L_{iy}}{L} \left[\frac{q_e m_i}{q_i m_e} \mu_i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\Omega_e^2}{\Omega_i^2} \lambda_i \right] \\
&+ \omega_{pe}^2 \frac{L_{ey}}{L} \left[\frac{q_i m_e}{q_e m_i} \mu_e \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} - \frac{\Omega_i^2}{\Omega_e^2} \lambda_e \right], \\
\eta_1 &= \omega_{pi}^2 v_{i0y} \frac{L_{ix}}{L} \left(\frac{\Omega_e^2}{\Omega_i^2} \lambda_i a_i - \frac{q_e m_i}{q_i m_e} \mu_i \omega_{ce} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\
\eta_2 &= \omega_{pi}^2 v_{i0y} \frac{L_{ix}}{L} \left[\frac{\Omega_e^2}{\Omega_i^2} \lambda_i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} - \frac{q_e m_i}{q_i m_e} \mu_i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^{-1} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\
\beta_y &= \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\Omega_i^2} v_{i0y}^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A53}$$

We can rewrite Equations (A50) and (A52) in the form

$$4\pi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} j_{pl1x} = \varepsilon_{plx} E_{1x} + \varepsilon_{plx} E_{1y} \tag{A54}$$

and

$$4\pi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} j_{pl1y} = \varepsilon_{ply} E_{1x} + \varepsilon_{ply} E_{1y}, \tag{A55}$$

where the components of the plasma dielectric permeability tensor are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{plx} &= -\alpha_x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \delta_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\Omega_i^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{i0y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2} + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\Omega_e^2}, \\
\varepsilon_{plx} &= \alpha_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \beta_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \delta_x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \left(\frac{\omega_{pi}^2 \omega_{ci}}{\Omega_i^2} + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2 \omega_{ce}}{\Omega_e^2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1}, \\
\varepsilon_{ply} &= -(\alpha_y + \eta_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \beta_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (\delta_y + \eta_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \left(\frac{\omega_{pi}^2 \omega_{ci}}{\Omega_i^2} + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2 \omega_{ce}}{\Omega_e^2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1}, \\
\varepsilon_{ply} &= (\alpha_y + \eta_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \beta_y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (\delta_y + \eta_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\Omega_i^2} + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\Omega_e^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A56}$$

Using Equations (A51) and (A53), we can find ε_{plij} in specific cases.

B. Appendix

B.1. Perturbed velocity of cosmic rays

The linearized Equation (5) for the cold, nonrelativistic, $T_{cr} \ll m_{cr}c^2$, cosmic rays takes the form

$$\gamma_{cr0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\mathbf{v}_{cr1} + \gamma_{cr0}^2 \frac{\mathbf{u}_{cr} u_{cr}}{c^2} v_{cr1y} \right) = -\frac{\nabla p_{cr1}}{m_{cr} n_{cr0}} + \mathbf{F}_{cr1} + \frac{q_{cr}}{m_{cr} c} \mathbf{v}_{cr1} \times \mathbf{B}_0, \quad (\text{B1})$$

where

$$\mathbf{F}_{cr1} = \frac{q_{cr}}{m_{cr}} \left(\mathbf{E}_1 + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{u}_{cr} \times \mathbf{B}_1 \right). \quad (\text{B2})$$

When obtaining Equation (B1), we have used that $\mathbf{u}_{cr}$ is directed along the y -axis and $\gamma_{cr1} = \gamma_{cr0}^3 u_{cr} v_{cr1y} / c^2$, where $\gamma_{cr0} = (1 - u_{cr}^2 / c^2)^{-1/2}$. From Equation (B1), we find the following equations for $v_{cr1x,y}$:

$$\gamma_{cr0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) v_{cr1x} = -\frac{1}{m_{cr} n_{cr0}} \frac{\partial p_{cr1}}{\partial x} + F_{cr1x} + \omega_{ccr} v_{cr1y} \quad (\text{B3})$$

and

$$\gamma_{cr0}^3 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) v_{cr1y} = -\frac{1}{m_{cr} n_{cr0}} \frac{\partial p_{cr1}}{\partial y} + F_{cr1y} - \omega_{ccr} v_{cr1x}, \quad (\text{B4})$$

where $\omega_{ccr} = q_{cr} B_0 / m_{cr} c$ is the cyclotron frequency of the cosmic ray particles. Solutions of Equations (B3) and (B4) have the form

$$\Omega_{cr}^2 v_{cr1x} = \frac{1}{m_{cr} n_{cr0}} L_{1crx} p_{cr1} + \omega_{ccr} F_{cr1y} + \gamma_{cr0}^3 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) F_{cr1x} \quad (\text{B5})$$

and

$$\Omega_{cr}^2 v_{cr1y} = \frac{1}{m_{cr} n_{cr0}} L_{1cry} p_{cr1} - \omega_{ccr} F_{cr1x} + \gamma_{cr0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) F_{cr1y}, \quad (\text{B6})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{cr}^2 &= \gamma_{cr0}^4 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \omega_{ccr}^2, \\ L_{1crx} &= -\omega_{ccr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \gamma_{cr0}^3 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\ L_{1cry} &= \omega_{ccr} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \gamma_{cr0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B7})$$

B.2. Equation for perturbed cosmic ray pressure

From Equation (6) in the linear approximation, we obtain the perturbed cosmic ray pressure

$$p_{cr1} = p_{cr0} \Gamma_{cr} \left(\frac{n_{cr1}}{n_{cr0}} - \frac{\gamma_{cr1}}{\gamma_{cr0}} \right). \quad (\text{B8})$$

Using the linearized continuity equation (2) for cosmic rays and expression for γ_{cr1} , we find that p_{cr1} is given by

$$p_{cr1} = -p_{cr0} \Gamma_{cr} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^{-1} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{cr1} + \gamma_{cr0}^2 \frac{u_{cr}}{c^2} v_{cr1y} \right]. \quad (\text{B9})$$

From Equations (B5) and (B6), we obtain the expression for $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{cr1}$ which is substituted together with the velocity v_{cr1y} into Equation (B9). As a result, we have

$$L_{2cr} p_{cr1} = -p_{cr0} \Gamma_{cr} \Phi_{cr1}. \quad (\text{B10})$$

Here,

$$L_{2cr} = \Omega_{cr}^2 - \gamma_{cr0} c_{scr}^2 L_{1cr} + \gamma_{cr0}^2 \frac{u_{cr}}{c^2} c_{scr}^2 L_{1cry}, \quad (\text{B11})$$

$$\Phi_{cr1} = -L_{3crx} F_{cr1x} + L_{3cry} F_{cr1y},$$

where $c_{scr} = (p_{cr0} \Gamma_{cr} / m_{cr} n_{cr0})^{1/2}$ is the cosmic ray sound speed defined by the rest mass and

$$\begin{aligned} L_{1cr} &= \gamma_{cr0}^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}, \\ L_{3crx} &= \omega_{ccr} \gamma_{cr0}^2 \left(\frac{u_{cr}}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^{-1} - \gamma_{cr0}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\ L_{3cry} &= \omega_{ccr} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \gamma_{cr0}^3 \left(\frac{u_{cr}}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B12})$$

B.3. Equations for cosmic ray velocities via $\mathbf{F}_{cr1}$

Substituting Equations (B10) and (B11) into Equations (B5) and (B6), we find

$$\Omega_{cr}^2 v_{cr1x} = \left[c_{scr}^2 \frac{L_{1crx}}{L_{2cr}} L_{3crx} + \gamma_{cr0}^3 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \right] F_{cr1x} + \left(-c_{scr}^2 \frac{L_{1crx}}{L_{2cr}} L_{3cry} + \omega_{ccr} \right) F_{cr1y} \quad (\text{B13})$$

and

$$\Omega_{cr}^2 v_{cr1y} = \left(c_{scr}^2 \frac{L_{1cry}}{L_{2cr}} L_{3crx} - \omega_{ccr} \right) F_{cr1x} + \left[-c_{scr}^2 \frac{L_{1cry}}{L_{2cr}} L_{3cry} + \gamma_{cr0}^3 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \right] F_{cr1y}. \quad (\text{B14})$$

B.4. Equations for cosmic ray velocities via $\mathbf{E}_1$

From Equation (B2), we have

$$F_{cr1x} = \frac{q_{cr}}{m_{cr}} \left[E_{1x} + u_{cr} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial E_{1x}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial E_{1y}}{\partial x} \right) \right], \quad (\text{B15})$$

$$F_{cr1y} = \frac{q_{cr}}{m_{cr}} E_{1y}.$$

Substituting Equation (B15) into Equations (B13) and (B14), we obtain

$$v_{cr1x} = \frac{q_{cr}}{m_{cr} \Omega_{cr}^2} \left[a_{crx} + \gamma_{cr0}^3 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \right] E_{1x} \quad (\text{B16})$$

$$+ \frac{q_{cr}}{m_{cr} \Omega_{cr}^2} \left[-b_{crx} + \omega_{ccr} - \gamma_{cr0}^3 u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \right] E_{1y}$$

and

$$v_{cr1y} = \frac{q_{cr}}{m_{cr} \Omega_{cr}^2} \left[a_{cry} - \omega_{ccr} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \right] E_{1x} \quad (\text{B17})$$

$$+ \frac{q_{cr}}{m_{cr} \Omega_{cr}^2} \left[-b_{cry} + \omega_{ccr} u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} + \gamma_{cr0}^3 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \right] E_{1y},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{crx} &= c_{scr}^2 \frac{L_{1crx}}{L_{2cr}} L_{3crx} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1}, \\
b_{crx} &= c_{scr}^2 \frac{L_{1crx}}{L_{2cr}} \left[L_{3cry} + L_{3crx} u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \right], \\
a_{cry} &= c_{scr}^2 \frac{L_{1cry}}{L_{2cr}} L_{3crx} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1}, \\
b_{cry} &= c_{scr}^2 \frac{L_{1cry}}{L_{2cr}} \left[L_{3cry} + L_{3crx} u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{B18}$$

The operators $L_{1crx,y}$, L_{2cr} , and $L_{3crx,y}$ containing in Equation (B18) are given by Equations (B7), (B11), and (B12), respectively.

B.5. Perturbed cosmic ray current

We now find the components of the perturbed cosmic ray current $j_{cr1x} = q_{cr} n_{cr0} v_{cr1x}$ and $j_{cr1y} = q_{cr} n_{cr0} v_{cr1y} + q_{cr} n_{cr1} u_{cr}$. Using Equations (B16) and (B17) and continuity equation (2) in the linear approximation, we find

$$4\pi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} j_{cr1x} = \varepsilon_{crxx} E_{1x} + \varepsilon_{crxy} E_{1y} \tag{B19}$$

and

$$4\pi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} j_{cr1y} = \varepsilon_{cryx} E_{1x} + \varepsilon_{cryy} E_{1y}. \tag{B20}$$

The components of the dielectric permeability tensor are the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{crxx} &= \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\Omega_{cr}^2} \left[a_{crx} + \gamma_{cr0}^3 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \right] \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1}, \\
\varepsilon_{crxy} &= \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\Omega_{cr}^2} \left[-b_{crx} + \omega_{ccr} - \gamma_{cr0}^3 u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \right] \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1}, \\
\varepsilon_{cryx} &= \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\Omega_{cr}^2} \left[\left(a_{cry} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - a_{crx} u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^{-1} \right] \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \\
&\quad - \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\Omega_{cr}^2} \left[\omega_{ccr} + \gamma_{cr0}^3 u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \right] \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1}, \\
\varepsilon_{cryy} &= \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\Omega_{cr}^2} \left[\left(-b_{cry} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + b_{crx} u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_{cr} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^{-1} \right] \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \\
&\quad + \frac{\omega_{pcr}^2}{\Omega_{cr}^2} \gamma_{cr0} \left[1 + \gamma_{cr0}^2 u_{cr}^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-2} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{B21}$$